

TFS TRIAL FORM SUPPORT AB (GROUP)

Lund - Sweden | Other professional, scientific and technical activities

Company size: **M** | Assessment scope: **Group**

Overall score

↗ **70**/100

Percentile

85th



Scorecard

Publication date: 22 Sep 2025 (Revised: 27 Feb 2026) Valid until: 22 Sep 2026

Overall score

Percentile

85th

↗ **70**/100



Environment

Impact on score ●○○○




Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●




Ethics

Impact on score ●●○○



Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○



Environment

Impact on score ●○○○

● ↘ **68**/100

Environment | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ **75**/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Quantitative objectives set on energy consumption & GHGs

Comprehensive policy on a majority of environmental issues

Environmental policy on materials, chemicals & waste

Environmental policy on energy consumption & GHGs

Environment | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → **100**/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the Science Based Targets initiative - Committed

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Environment | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● → **75**/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Internal sorting & disposal of waste according to waste streams

Refurbishing and internal reuse of IT hardware

Purchase and/or generation of renewable energy

Reduction of energy consumption of lighting systems

Reduction of internal wastes through material reuse, recovery or repurpose

Use of efficient HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) equipment

Training of employees on energy conservation/climate actions

Environment | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

Low priority No information on ISO 14001/EMAS certification

Environment | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● ↘ 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions

Total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation

Reporting on total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions

Reporting on total gross Scope 2 GHG emissions (market or location based)

Company reports to CDP

Reporting on total amount of renewable energy consumed

Total gross Scope 2 reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation

Total gross Scope 1 reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation

Reporting on total energy consumption

Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards

Improvement areas

High priority

Insufficient reporting on environmental issues

Low priority

No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions

Low priority

No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Low priority

No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of non-hazardous waste

Low priority

No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of waste recovered

Low priority

No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of hazardous waste

Environment | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

25 Aug 2025

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Aug 2025 to Aug 2030

Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↘ 68/100

Labor & Human Rights | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 50/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Labor & human rights policy on working conditions

Labor & human rights policy on social dialogue

Labor & human rights policy on employee health & safety

Standard policy on a majority of labor or human rights issues

Labor & human rights policy on career management & training

Labor & human rights policy on preventing discrimination and harassment

Improvement areas

Low priority No quantitative target on labor and human rights issues

Labor & Human Rights | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● → 75/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Labor & Human Rights | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 100/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Communication to all employees of remuneration process (e.g. salary grid, procedure for salary advancement)
Employee satisfaction survey
Health care coverage of employees in place
Compensation for extra or atypical working hours
Collective bargaining agreement on working hours, overtime, or leaves
Collective bargaining agreement on preventing discrimination and harassment
Grievance mechanism on discrimination and/or harassment issues
Collective bargaining agreement on career management & training
Actions to prevent discrimination during recruitment phase
Employee representatives or employee representative body (e.g. works council)
Family Friendly programs (FFPs) implemented (e.g. parental or care leaves, childcare services or allowances)
Awareness training on discrimination and harassment
Complaints procedure in place for employees to report on occupational health and safety issues
Actions to ensure adequate wages
Flexible organization of work (eg. remote work, flexi-time)
Collective bargaining agreement on employees' health & safety
Other actions to ensure good working conditions
Preventive actions for repetitive strain injury (RSI)
Grievance mechanism on working conditions
Collectively bargained wages
Actions to manage working hours and overtime
Provision of skills development training
Actions to promote internal mobility
Training of employees on health and safety risks and best working practices
Actions to address stress and psychological wellbeing in the workplace
Regular assessment of individual performance
Individual development and career plan for all employees

Labor & Human Rights | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

Low priority	No information on ISO 45001 certification
--------------	---

Labor & Human Rights | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 50/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Standard reporting on labor and human rights issues
Reporting on the percentage of women employed in relation to the whole organization
Reporting on number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health
Reporting on number of recordable work-related accidents
Reporting on the percentage of women at top management level
Reporting on number of average training hours per employee
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards

Improvement areas

Low priority	Declares reporting on ratio of the annual total compensation of the highest paid individual, to the median annual total compensation for all employees, but no supporting documentation available
Low priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Labor & Human Rights | 360° Watch Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

<p>No records found for this company on Compliance Database</p> <p>25 Aug 2025</p>	<p>360° Watch</p> <p>Impact on Score</p> <p>Neutral</p> <hr/> <p>Severity</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>valid from Aug 2025 to Aug 2030</p>
---	--

Ethics Impact on score ●●○○

● ↘ 73/100

Ethics | Policies Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Comprehensive policies on ethics issues
Policies on corruption
Policy on fraud
Disciplinary sanctions to deal with policy violations
Policy on information security
Policy on money laundering
Policy on conflict of interest
Dedicated responsibility for ethics issues

Ethics | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● → 50/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Ethics | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Specific approval procedure for sensitive transactions (e.g. gifts, travel)

Implementation of a records retention schedule

Information security risk assessments performed

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report information security concerns

Awareness training to prevent information security breaches

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report corruption and bribery

Measures for gaining stakeholder consent regarding the processing, sharing and retention of confidential information

Training of employees on corruption and bribery prevention

Audits of control procedures to prevent corruption

Audits of control procedures to prevent information security breaches

Incident response procedure (IRP) to manage breaches of confidential information

Measures to protect third party data from unauthorized access or disclosure

Improvement areas

High priority No supporting evidence of corruption risk assessments

Low priority No supporting evidence of an anti-corruption due diligence program on third parties

Ethics | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Ethics | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 50/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Standard reporting on ethics issues

Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Ethics | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

25 Aug 2025

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Aug 2025 to Aug 2030

Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○

● ↗ 74/100

Sustainable Procurement | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 75/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Quantitative objectives set on sustainable procurement policy

Comprehensive sustainable procurement policies on both social and environmental factors

Sustainable Procurement | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 75/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the Science Based Targets initiative - Committed

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Sustainable Procurement | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 75/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Actions to prevent discrimination and harassment in the workforce of suppliers

Supplier assessment on environmental and social practices

Risk assessment of adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chain

Training of buyers on social and environmental issues within the supply chain

Integration of social and environmental clauses into supplier contracts

Supplier sustainability code of conduct in place

Improvement areas

Medium priority No supporting evidence of on-site audits of suppliers on environmental and social issues

Low priority No supporting evidence of capacity building of suppliers on risks of adverse sustainability impacts

Low priority No supporting evidence of incentive programs for suppliers based on their environmental and social performances

Low priority No supporting evidence of sustainable procurement objectives integrated into buyer performance reviews

Sustainable Procurement | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Sustainable Procurement | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 50/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Standard reporting on sustainable procurement issues

Reporting on total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions

Total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions value confirmed in supporting documentation

Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Sustainable Procurement | 360° Watch Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

25 Aug 2025

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Aug 2025 to Aug 2030

The below disclaimers apply to you if you have no contractual relationship with EcoVadis:

1. This Scorecard has a validity of twelve (12) months from the issue date. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.
2. This Scorecard is based on the disclosed information and news resources available to EcoVadis at the time of assessment. Should any information or circumstances change materially during the period of the Scorecard validity, EcoVadis reserves the right to place the Scorecard on hold and, if considered appropriate, to re-assess and possibly issue a revised Scorecard.
3. This Scorecard is the intellectual property of EcoVadis and must not be: (i) copied, modified, translated, published, reproduced and/or (ii) used as part of or in connection with any other CSR/ESG assessment, unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis.
4. This Scorecard is the confidential information of EcoVadis. Unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis, this Scorecard and the rating must not be used by a third party as part of or in connection with any activity related to revenue generation and shared any further; it may be used only for a third party's internal purposes.
5. Only a Scorecard of a rated company having a Select subscription can be used for the purpose of ESG - linked loans and similar products offered by any financial institution outside of the EcoVadis solution.
6. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.